

Robust Support Vector Machines


Zhu Wang*

10/17/2020

The CC-family contains functions of composite of concave and convex functions. The CC-estimators are derived from minimizing loss functions in the CC-family via composite optimization by conjugation operator (COCO). The CC-estimators include robust support vector machines. See Wang (2020).

Support vector machine classification

```
library("mpath")
library("e1071")
set.seed(1900)
x <- matrix(rnorm(40*2), ncol=2)
y <- c(rep(-1, 20), rep(1, 20))
x[y==1,] <- x[y==1, ] + 1
plot(x[,2],x[,1], col=(2-y))
```



Use the radial kernel SVM for classification.

```
dat <- data.frame(x=x, y=as.factor(y))
svm.model <- svm(y~., data=dat, cost=100, type="C-classification")
summary(svm.model)
```


*UT Health San Antonio, wangz1@uthscsa.edu

```

## 
## Call:
## svm(formula = y ~ ., data = dat, cost = 100, type = "C-classification")
## 
## 
## Parameters:
##   SVM-Type: C-classification
##   SVM-Kernel: radial
##   cost: 100
## 
## Number of Support Vectors: 21
## 
## ( 12 9 )
## 
## 
## Number of Classes: 2
## 
## Levels:
## -1 1
plot(svm.model, dat)

```

SVM classification plot



Robust radial kernel SVM for classification.

```

ccsvm.model <- ccsvm(y ~ ., data = dat, cost = 100, type="C-classification", cfun="acave",
                      s=1)
summary(ccsvm.model)

```

```


## 
## Call:
## 
```

```

## ccsvm.formula(formula = y ~ ., data = dat, cost = 100, type = "C-classification",
##                 cfun = "acave", s = 1)
##
## Parameters:
##   SVM-Type: C-classification
##   SVM-Kernel: radial
##   cost: 100
##
## Number of Support Vectors: 18
##
## ( 9 9 )
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
## -1 1
plot(ccsvm.model, dat)

```

Weighted SVM classification plot



Add 15% outliers to the training data, and fit robust SVM, selecting tuning parameters with the cross-validation method.

```

n <- length(y)
nout <- n*0.15
id <- sample(n)[1:nout]
cat("id=", id)

## id= 16 39 30 17 40 25

```

```

y[id] <- -y[id]
dat2 <- data.frame(x=x, y=as.factor(y))
ccsvm.opt <- cv.ccsvm(y ~ ., data=dat2, type="C-classification", s=1, cfun="acave",
                      ncores=2, balance=FALSE)
ccsvm.opt$cost

## [1] 1
ccsvm.opt$gamma

## [1] 0.125
ccsvm.opt$s

## [1] 1

```

To evaluate prediction, we simulate test data with no outliers.

```

xtest <- matrix(rnorm(20*2), ncol=2)
ytest <- sample(c(-1,1), 20, rep=TRUE)
xtest[ytest==1, ] <- xtest[ytest==1, ] + 1
testdat <- data.frame(x=xtest, y=as.factor(ytest))

```

Fit a robust SVM model again, with tuning parameters selected by cross-validation, then evaluate prediction accuracy with test data, with 85% accuracy.

```

ccsvm.model1 <- ccsvm(y ~ ., data = dat2, cost = ccsvm.opt$cost, gamma=ccsvm.opt$gamma,
                       s=ccsvm.opt$s, cfun="acave", type="C-classification")
summary(ccsvm.model1)


##
## Call:
## ccsvm(formula = y ~ ., data = dat2, cost = ccsvm.opt$cost,
##       gamma = ccsvm.opt$gamma, s = ccsvm.opt$s, cfun = "acave", type = "C-classification")
##
## Parameters:
##   SVM-Type: C-classification
##   SVM-Kernel: radial
##   cost: 1
##
## Number of Support Vectors: 27
##
##  ( 14 13 )
##
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
## -1 1
table(predict=predict(ccsvm.model1, xtest), truth=testdat$y)

##
##      truth
## predict -1  1
##        -1 7  2
##        1   1 10

```

```
plot(ccsvm.model1, dat2)
```

Weighted SVM classification plot



Develop a SVM model with training data and evaluate with the test data. The prediction accuracy is 80%.

```
svm.model1 <- svm(y~., data=dat2, cost=ccsvm.opt$cost, gamma=ccsvm.opt$gamma,
                    type="C-classification")
summary(svm.model1)
```


```
##  
## Call:  
## svm(formula = y ~ ., data = dat2, cost = ccsvm.opt$cost, gamma = ccsvm.opt$gamma,  
##       type = "C-classification")  
##  
##  
## Parameters:  
##   SVM-Type: C-classification  
##   SVM-Kernel: radial  
##   cost: 1  
##  
## Number of Support Vectors: 27  
##  
##  ( 14 13 )  
##  
##  
## Number of Classes: 2  
##  
## Levels:  
## -1 1  
table(predict=predict(svm.model1, testdat), truth=testdat$y)
```

```

##      truth
## predict -1 1
##      -1 7 3
##      1 1 9
plot(svm.model1, dat2)

```

SVM classification plot



In robust SVM with function `ccsvm`, argument `cfun` can be chosen from "hcave", "acave", "bcave", "ccave", "dcave", "gcave", "tcave", "ecave", for a variety of concave functions.

Support vector machine regression

We predict median value of owner-occupied homes in suburbs of Boston. The data can be obtained from the UCI machine learning data repository. There are 506 observations and 13 predictors.

```

urlname <- "https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/"
filename <- "machine-learning-databases/housing/housing.data"
dat <- read.table(paste0(urlname, filename), sep="", header=FALSE)
n <- dim(dat)[1]
p <- dim(dat)[2]
cat("n=", n, "p=", p, "\n")

```

```

## n= 506 p= 14

```

Randomly split the data into 90% of samples for training and 10% of samples as test data.

```

set.seed(129)
trid <- sample(n)[1:(n*0.9)]
traindat <- dat[trid, ]
testdat <- dat[-trid, ]

```

Fit the robust radial kernel CCSVM model with truncated ϵ -insensitive loss, i.e., `ccfun="tcave"` in function `ccsvm`. Root mean squared error on test data is reported. A comprehensive robust CCSVM analysis with other types of `ccfun` can be found in Wang (2020).

```
ccsvm.model <- ccsvm(x=traindat[,-p], y=traindat[,p], cost = 2^3, gamma=2^{(-4)},
                       epsilon=2^{(-4)}, s=5, cfun="tcave")
summary(ccsvm.model)

##
## Call:
## ccsvm.matrix(x = x, y = ..1, cost = ..2, gamma = ..3, epsilon = ..4,
##               s = 5, cfun = "tcave")
##
##
## Parameters:
##   SVM-Type:  eps-regression
##   SVM-Kernel:  radial
##   cost: 8
##   gamma: 0.0625
##   epsilon: 0.0625
##
##
## Number of Support Vectors:  351
ccsvm.predict <- predict(ccsvm.model, testdat[,-p])
mse1 <- mean((testdat[,p] - ccsvm.predict)^2)
cat("RMSE with robust SVM", sqrt(mse1))

## RMSE with robust SVM 2.758136
```

Fit the radial kernel SVM model. The RMSE is larger than the robust SVM, and the model has a larger number of support vectors as well. See the figure below for a comparison.

```
svm.model <- svm(x=traindat[,-p], y=traindat[,p], cost=2^3, gamma=2^{(-4)}, epsilon=2^{(-4)})
summary(svm.model)


##
## Call:
## svm.default(x = traindat[, -p], y = traindat[, p], gamma = 2^{(-4)},
##               cost = 2^3, epsilon = 2^{(-4)})
##
##
## Parameters:
##   SVM-Type:  eps-regression
##   SVM-Kernel:  radial
##   cost: 8
##   gamma: 0.0625
##   epsilon: 0.0625
##
##
## Number of Support Vectors:  361
svm.predict <- predict(svm.model, testdat[,-p])
mse2 <- mean((testdat[,p] - svm.predict)^2)
cat("RMSE with SVM", sqrt(mse2))

## RMSE with SVM 2.840434
```

```

plot(testdat[,p], ccsvm.predict, col="red", pch=1, ylab="Predicted values",
      xlab="Median home values ($1000)")
points(testdat[,p], svm.predict, col="black", pch=2)
legend("topleft", c("CCSVM", "SVM"), col=c("red", "black"), pch=c(1, 2))
abline(coef=c(0, 1))

```



Reference

Wang, Zhu. 2020. “Unified Robust Estimation via the COCO.” *arXiv E-Prints*, October, arXiv:2010.02848. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.02848>.